

Wh-question formation strategies in Guarani

Hunter Johnson (UCLA)
Marisabel Cabrera (UCLA)
05 JAN 2024 • SSILA 2024

1 Preliminaries

- Guarani is spoken by roughly five million people in Paraguay and border regions of Argentina/Brasil with a long prescriptive history.¹ However, there does not exist much *descriptive* work (cf. Estigarribia 2017; 2020 and other works cited below).
- What descriptive work exists does not go into much depth on *wh*-questions or question formation strategies in general.
- The goal of this work is to introduce Guarani to the descriptive literature on *wh*-movement by presenting the first systematic *description* of *wh*-question strategies in Guarani.

¹ Aguyjevete ñandean-girũnguérape ñandepytyvõva'eku Guaranime Coronel Oviedo ha hetavépe. Pen-empa'eráite Elvira Martínez, Laure Galeano, Irma Ovelar, ha Maria Gomez. Ha aguyjevete ñandembo'ehara Harold Torrence for his guidance throughout all stages of this project.

Today:

- introduce the following properties of Guarani *wh*-questions:
 - ⇒ *wh*-morphology, *wh*-particles
 - * *wh*-words and their (de)composition
 - * distribution of particles
 - ⇒ *wh*-movement (full) is obligatory (i.e. no partial-*wh*-copying)
 - * intermediate *wh*-elements are not allowed
 - * w/ w/o scope marker
 - ⇒ *wh*-movement (long-distance) leaves no morphological trace
 - * intermediate *wh*-elements are not allowed
 - * w/ w/o scope marker
 - ⇒ *wh*-islands are obeyed
 - * crossing one *wh*-element w/ another banned
 - ⇒ *wh*-elements from embedded clauses exhibit clausal pied-piping
 - * speaker variation (Concepción v. Caaguazu)
 - * some clauses allow for pied-piping, others do not

- We'll conclude by drawing connections between these findings presented here and relative clause (RC) formation in Guarani (another type of \bar{A} -movement) which may have consequences for Guarani linguistics and theoretical linguistics more broadly.

2 Background

2.1 Language background

- We present data primarily from in-situ fieldwork in Coronel Oviedo and Caaguazu, Paraguay with 6 speakers (ages=30–60).

(1) *Map of Paraguay w/ Concepción, Coronel Oviedo, and Caaguazú:*



- Our speakers all speak Guaraní as their first language and learned Spanish at a later age.
- Previous work includes: theoretical-oriented Monserrat and Soares (1983); Jensen (1990, 1998, 1999); Velázquez-Castillo (1991, 2002); Rose (2015); Tonhauser (2011a,b, 2020, 2006, 2007); Tonhauser and Colijn (2010); Clopper and Tonhauser (2013); Shain and Tonhauser (2010); Zubizarreta and Pancheva (2017a,b); Pancheva and Zubizarreta (2019); Zubizarreta (2022); Jun and Zubizarreta (2022); Jun et al. (2023) and descriptive/prescriptive (Anchieta 1595 [1979]; Aragona 1625 [1979]; Ruiz de Montoya 1724 [1876]; Restivo 1724 [1892]; Gregores and Suarez 1967; de Guaranía 1997, 2008; Krivoshein de Canese and Alcaraz 2001, 2006; Zarratea 2002; Krivoshein de Canese and Alcaraz 2006: a.o.).
- With this strong prescriptive background, in doing fieldwork/public outreach on the language we do our best to bring attention to non-standard dialects.
- One thing we learned through our fieldwork is that, despite its official status, at a national level Guaraní is losing government support, but local governments continue to appreciate its value (happy to talk more about this in the discussion period!).²

2.2 Linguistic background

- Guaraní is highly-agglutinative and is mixed prefixing/suffixing as shown in (2).³ The verb root is **bolded** in (2). Further, the template in (3) demonstrates that argument structure morphemes (REFL, ANTIP, CAUS, ϕ) appear as prefixes while TAM/Negation (DES, AUG, FUT, CMPL, PROG) appears as suffixes.⁴

² The new president of Paraguay has been quoted saying “Do people really still speak Guaraní at all?” When, even in the capital Asunción, Guaraní is heard on the streets.

³ Some abbreviations: NEG = negation, ANTIP = antipassive, CAUS = causative, DES = desiderative, EMPH = emphatic, PST = past tense, AUG = augmentative, CMPL = completive, TOT = totalitative

- (2) n-o-poro-mbo-guero-**guata**-se-i-terei-rasa-pa-kuri-hikoni
 NEG-3-ANTIP-CAUS1-CAUS3-**walk**-DES-NEG-EMPH-EMPH2-TOT-PST-PST
 ‘He didn’t want to make him walk too much.’

(3) *Template for Guarani verbs:*

NEG - ϕ - ANTIP - CAUS - REFL - RECIP - V - DES - AUG - FUT - NEG - CMPL - PROG

- The *minimal* Guarani verb contains the root and ϕ -agreement marking (person and number) (4).

(4) a-guata
 1-walk
 ‘I walk(ed).’

- Person pronouns (5a) for objects and subjects are generally optional, as well as determiners generally (5b) (5c) for Definiteness, for example.

(5) a. (che) ai-pytyvõ (ichupe)
 (I) 1-walk (him/her)
 ‘I help(ed) him/her.’

b. (che) a-hecha jagua
 (I) 1-see dog
 ‘I saw a/the dog.’

c. (che) a-hecha **pe** jagua
 (I) 1-see **the** dog
 ‘I saw the dog.’

- One interesting, but underrecognized, characteristic of Guarani is that it has scrambling of free word order (Tonhauser and Colijn 2010). In a transitive clause, all six orders are possible (6).⁵

(6) a.	che ai-pytyvõ Juan-pe		
	I 1.SUBJ-help Juan-DOM		
	‘I help Juan.’	SVO	
b.	che Juan-pe ai-pytyvõ		
	I Juan-DOM 1.SUBJ-help	SOV	
c.	Juan-pe che ai-pytyvõ		
	Juan-DOM I 1.SUBJ-help	OSV	
d.	ai-pytyvõ che Juan-pe		
	1.SUBJ-help I Juan-DOM	VSO	
e.	ai-pytyvõ Juan-pe che		
	1.SUBJ-help Juan-DOM I	VOS	
f.	Juan-pe ai-pytyvõ che		
	Juan-DOM 1.SUBJ-help I	OVS	

⁵ In fact, there is also very free word order in intransitives and ditransitives. While Topichood/Focus is often required to derive these orders in other languages, in Guarani it does not seem to be as closely tied to information structure.

3 *Wh*-morphology and *wh*-particles

- (7) provides a list of *wh*-elements in Guarani with their English translations.
- *Mba'e* ‘what’ seems to underlie many other *wh*-questions like: *mba'e-re* ‘how’, *mba'e-rã* ‘why’, *mba'e-pe* ‘with what’, and *mba'e-icha* ‘how’.
- *Mava* ‘who’ also underlies the word *mava-ite* ‘which’.

<i>Wh</i>-elements in Guarani	
<i>mba'e</i>	‘what’
<i>mba'e-re</i>	‘how’
<i>mba'e-rã</i>	‘why’
<i>mba'e-pe</i>	‘with what’
(7) <i>mba'e-icha</i>	‘how’
<i>mava</i>	‘who’
<i>mava-ite</i>	‘which’
<i>moõ</i>	‘where’
<i>araka'é</i>	‘when’
<i>mbovy</i>	‘how many’

- The markers which tack on to *mba'e* ‘what’ are found in other corners of the grammar: *re* is the oblique postposition as in *for*, *rã* is a future marker used for nominals, *pe* is the locative/ACC case marker, *icha* is ‘like/as’ (Estigarribia 2020).
- Curiously, the *ite* used in *mava-ite* ‘which’ is actually an intensifier/emphatic marker...
- In other words, these markers are not exclusive to *wh*-elements.

3.1 *Wh*-particles

- There are three different *wh*-particles which are used depending on the context—but they all seem to carry the same meaning or interrogativity.⁶
- In all cases, the *wh*-element is always *fronted* because it appears at the beginning of the sentence.

⁶ The precise discourse contexts which license a particular particle, but do not license others, is unclear for the time being. Therefore, the descriptions on the following page are descriptive.

Wh-particles in Guarani:

- * \emptyset forced question (strongest, considered rude out of the blue)

(8) **mba'e** oi-kyti Romi?
what 3.SUBJ-cut Romi
'What did Romi cut?'

- * *pa* default question (can be used in all contexts)

(9) **mba'e-pa** oi-kyti Romi?
what-Q 3.SUBJ-cut Romi
'What did Romi cut?'

- * *piko* casual question (can be used in casual contexts)

(10) **mba'e-piko** oi-kyti Romi?
what-Q 3.SUBJ-cut Romi
'What did Romi cut?'

4 Wh-movement (full) is obligatory

- With this, we can observe some basic *wh*-questions in Guarani below. A basic *wh*-question is formed by fronting the *wh*-element as in (11).

(11) a. **máva-pa** oi-kyti mandi'o?
who-Q 3.SUBJ-cut mandioca
'Who cut the mandioca?'
b. **mba'e-pa** oi-kyti Romi?
what-Q 3.SUBJ-cut Romi
'What did Romi cut?'
c. **moõ-pa** oi-kyti Romi ka'ã?
where-Q 3.SUBJ-cut Romi herbs
'Where did Romi cut herbs?'

- In general, we will show forms with the *pa* particle because it is the most widely accepted marker in the most contexts.
- Despite its liberal word order, Guarani does not allow for *wh*-items to be left in-situ: *wh*-movement is obligatory and *wh*-objects ((12a), (12b)) and *wh*-subjects ((13a), (13b)) must be fronted.

(12) a. * Diego oi-kyti **mba'e-pa**?
Diego 3.SUBJ-cut **what-Q**
b. * Diego **mba'e-pa** oi-kyti?
Diego **what-Q** 3.SUBJ-cut

- c. **mba'e-pa** Diego oi-kyti?
what-Q Diego 3.SUBJ-cut
 'What did Diego cut?' O_{wh}SV

- (13) a. * mandí'o **máva-pa** oi-kyti?
 mandioca **who-Q** 3.SUBJ-cut
- b. * mandí'o oi-kyti **máva-pa**?
 mandioca 3.SUBJ-cut **who-Q**
- c. **máva-pa** mandí'o oi-kyti?
who-Q mandioca 3.SUBJ-cut
 'Who cut the mandioca?' S_{wh}OV

- However, as long as the *wh*-element is fronted, other elements in the sentence may freely scramble. Compare the pre-verbal subject in (14a) with the post-verbal object in (14b). The same holds for non-*wh*-objects in (15a) and (15b).

- (14) a. mba'e-pa **Diego** oi-kyti?
 what-Q **Diego** 3.SUBJ-cut
 'What did Diego cut?' O_{wh}SV
- b. mba'e-pa oi-kyti **Diego**?
 what-Q 3.SUBJ-cut **Diego**
 'What did Diego cut?' O_{wh}VS

- (15) a. máva-pa **mandí'o** oi-kyti?
 who-Q **mandioca** 3.SUBJ-cut
 'Who cut the mandioca?' S_{wh}OV
- b. máva-pa oi-kyti **mandí'o**?
 who-Q 3.SUBJ-cut **mandioca**
 'Who cut the mandioca?' S_{wh}VO

- This is not to say that *no* elements may appear to the left of the *wh*-element: adverbs like *kuehe* 'yesterday' may be fronted in (16a).

- (16) a. **kuehe** moõ-piko o-ho Huã?
yesterday where-Q 3-go Juan
 'Where did Juan go yesterday?'
- b. moõ-piko **kuehe** o-ho Huã?
 where-Q **yesterday** 3-go Juan
 'Where did Juan go yesterday?'
- c. moõ-piko o-ho **kuehe** Huã?
 where-Q 3-go **yesterday** Juan
 'Where did Juan go yesterday?'
- d. moõ-piko o-ho Huã **kuehe**?
 where-Q 3-go Juan **yesterday**
 'Where did Juan go yesterday?'

- The same applies for PPs in (17). It may be fronted to the left of the *wh*-element.

- (17) a. **mbo'ehao-pe** mba'e ne-mbo'e
school-LOC what 2OBJ-teach
 'What did they teach you in school?'
- b. mba'e **mbo'ehao-pe** ne-mbo'e
 what **school-LOC** 2OBJ-teach
 'What did they teach you in school?'
- c. mba'e ne-mbo'e **mbo'ehao-pe**
 what 2OBJ-teach **school-LOC**
 'What did they teach you in school?'

5 Long-distance *wh*-movement

- There does not appear to be any morphological reflex of successive-cyclic movement in \bar{A} -chains in Guarani.
- Long-distance questions are still formed by fronting the *wh*-element to the far left of the sentence when comparing the baseline in (18a) to the embedded object question in (18b) and the embedded subject question in (18c).

- (18) a. ne-memby he'i ndéve o-mbo'e-hague tembiasakue ichupe mbo'ehao-pe?
 2-child 3.say to.you 3-teach-PST history them school-LOC
 'Your child told you they were taught history in school.'
- b. **mba'e-pa** he'i ndéve ne-memby o-mbo'e-hague ichupe mbo'ehao-pe?
what-Q 3.say to.you 2-child 3-teach-PST them school-LOC
 'What did your child tell you they were taught in school?'
- c. **máva-pa** he'i ndéve ne-memby o-mbo'e ichupe mbo'ehao-pe?
who-Q 3.say to.you 2-child 3-teach him/her school-LOC
 'Who did you child say teaches them in school?'

- Scrambling does not always appear to be clause bounded in comparing (19a) and (19b).

- (19) a. Diego oi-mo'ã Huã o-mbo-'i pe pakova
 Diego 3-think Juan 3-CAUS-DIM the banana
 'Diego thinks that Juan sliced the banana.'
- b. Huã Diego oi-mo'ã o-mbo-'i pe pakova
 Juan Diego 3-think 3-CAUS-DIM the banana
 'Diego thinks Juan sliced the banana.'
- c. **mba'e-pa** Diego oi-mo'ã Huã 3-mbo-'i ____
what-Q Diego 3-think Juan 3-CAUS-DIM
 'What does Diego think Juan cut?'
- d. **máva-pa** Diego oi-mo'ã ____ 3-mbo-'i pe pakova
who-Q Diego 3-think Juan 3-CAUS-DIM the
 'Who does Diego think cut the banana?'

- *Wh*-copying is not allowed. In other words, lower traces of *wh*-elements may not be pronounced (20).

- (20) a. **mba'e-pa** oi-mo'ã Arturo (***mba'e-pa**) Diego oi-kyti?
what-Q 3.SUBJ-think Arturo (***what-Q**) Diego 3.SUBJ-cut
 'What does Arturo think Diego cut?'
- b. **máva-pa** rei-mo'ã (***máva-pa**) oi-kyti mandi'o?
who-Q 2.SUBJ-think (***who-Q**) 3.SUBJ-cut mandioca
 'Who do you think cut the mandioca?'

- Partial *wh*-movement is also not allowed (21). In other words, the *wh*-element must be *fully* fronted to the left of the phrase. This applies regardless of whether there is a scope marker (21a) or not (21b).

- (21) a. * Isa oi-mo'ã **máva** oi-kyti mandi'o?
 Isa 3.SUBJ-think **who** 3.SUBJ-cut mandioca
 Intended: 'Who does Isa think cut the mandioca?'
- b. * **mba'e** Isa oi-mo'ã **máva** oi-kyti mandi'o?
what Isa 3.SUBJ-think **who** 3.SUBJ-cut mandioca
 Intended: 'Who does Isa think cut the mandioca?'

6 *Wh*-islands are obeyed

- *Wh*-islands refers to an inability to move one *wh*-element across another and, among other islands, they are a general property of many languages.

- (22) a. [che a-ñe-porandu [CP **mba'e** Huã o-me'ẽ-ta chéve]]
 I 1.SUBJ-REFL-ask **what** Juan 3-give-FUT to.me
 'I wonder what Juan will give me.'
- b. [**mba'e-pa**₁ nde re-ñe-porandu [CP o-me'ẽ-ta ndéve Huã ___₁ ?]]
what-Q you 2.SUBJ-REFL-ask 3.SUBJ-give-FUT you Juan
 'What do you wonder Juan will give you?'
- c. * [**mba'e-pa**₁ nde re-ñe-porandu [CP **moõ**₂ o-me'ẽ-ta ndéve Huã
what-Q you 2.SUBJ-REFL-ask **where** 3.SUBJ-give-FUT to.you Juan?
 ___₁ ___₂]]
 Intended: 'what do you wonder where Juan will give you?'

7 Clausal pied-piping

- In this final section, we provide data on *clausal pied-piping* the process by which extracted *wh*-elements drag along an entire clause with them.
- There is speaker variation w.r.t. clausal pied-piping. The data in

- (23) a. [Arturo he'i chéve [che sy o-jeroky-hague kuehe]]
 Arturo 3.SUBJ-tell me my mom 3.SUBJ-dance-PST yesterday
 'Arturo told me my mom danced last night.'
- b. [**máva** he-'i ndéve Arturo [o-jeroky-va'ekue kuehe?]]
who 3.SUBJ-tell you Arturo 3.SUBJ-dance-PST yesterday
 'who did Arturo tell you danced last night.'

- c. [[**máva** la o-jeroky-va'ekue] he-'i ndéve Arturo?]
who RC 3.SUBJ-dance-PST 3.SUBJ-tell you Arturo
 'who did Arturo tell you danced last night?'
- d. *[[che sy o-jeroky-hague] he-'i chéve Arturo]
 my mother 3.SUBJ-dance-PST 3.SUBJ-tell me Arturo

- However, speakers vary w.r.t. the (un)acceptability of sentences like ([piedpiped]).
- The data above are from a speaker from Concepción (Northern/Central-Paraguay) while the data below, in which the pied-piping is allowed, are from our Caaguazu speaker.

8 Conclusion

- Today we have presented data from Guarani to introduce it to the literature on *wh*-question typology.

Properties of *wh*-questions in Guarani:

- ⇒ *wh*-morphology, *wh*-particles
- ⇒ *wh*-movement (full) is obligatory (i.e. no partial-*wh*-copying)
- ⇒ *wh*-movement (long-distance) leaves no morphological trace
- ⇒ *wh*-islands are obeyed
- ⇒ *wh*-elements from embedded clauses exhibit clausal pied-piping

References

- Anchieta, Joseph de. 1595 [1979]. *Arte de grammatica da lingoa mais usada na costa do Brasil*. Coimbra: António de Mariz.
- Aragona, Alonso de. 1625 [1979]. Breve introducción para aprender la lengua guaraní. In *Amerindia. revue d'ethnolinguistique amérindienne*, volume 4, 24--61. Colegio del Salvador de Buenos Aires.
- Krivoshein de Canese, Natalia, and Feliciano Acosta Alcaraz. 2001. *Gramática Guarani*. Asunción: Ñemity.
- Krivoshein de Canese, Natalia, and Feliciano Acosta Alcaraz. 2006. *Guarani dictionary*. Asunción: Instituto Superior de Lenguas.
- Clopper, Cynthia G, and Judith Tonhauser. 2013. The prosody of focus in Paraguayan Guarani. *International Journal of American Linguistics* 79:219–251.
- Estigarribia, Bruno. 2020. *A grammar of Paraguayan Guarani*. Series Grammars of World and Minority Languages. London: UCL Press.
- Estigarribia, Bruno, and Justin Pintas. 2017. *Guarani linguistics in the 21st Century*. Leiden: Brill.
- Gregores, Emma, and Jorge A Suarez. 1967. *A Description of Colloquial Guarani*. The Hague, Netherlands: Mouton.
- de Guaranía, Félix. 1997. *Diccionario Guaranía ilustrado*. Buenos Aires, Argentina: Colihue.
- de Guaranía, Félix. 2008. *Table sinóptica para una nueva gramática Guarani: ñe'ërekokatu ha ñe'ëmorangatu*. Asunción, Paraguay: Servilibro.

- Jensen, Cheryl. 1990. Cross-referencing changes in some Tupí-Guaraní languages. *Amazonian linguistics: Studies in lowland South American languages* 393–405.
- Jensen, Cheryl. 1998. Comparative Tupí-Guaraní morphosyntax. *Handbook of Amazonian languages* 4:489–618.
- Jensen, Cheryl. 1999. Tupí-Guaraní. In *The Amazonian languages*, ed. RMW Dixon & Alexandra Y. Aikhenvald, 125–164. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Jun, Sun-Ah, Jian-Leat Siah, Marisabel Cabrera, and Hunter Johnson. 2023. Realizations of the tritonal pitch accent in Paraguayan Guarani. In *Proceedings of the Interational Congress of Phonetic Sciences (ICPhS)*.
- Jun, Sun-Ah, and María Luisa Zubizarreta. 2022. Paraguayan Guarani: Tritonal pitch accent and Accentual Phrase. In *Proceedings Interspeech 2022*, 5303–5307. Seoul Korea.
- Monserrat, Ruth, and Marília Facó Soares. 1983. Hierarquia referencial em línguas tupi. *Cadernos de Linguística e Teoria da Literatura* 164–187.
- Ruiz de Montoya, Antonio. 1724 [1876]. *Tesoro de la lengva gvarani*. Leipzig: B. G. Teubner.
- Pancheva, Roumyana, and Maria Luisa Zubizarreta. 2019. On the role of person features in the evidential-temporal connection. *Canadian Journal of Linguistics/Revue canadienne de linguistique* 64:673–708.
- Restivo, Pablo. 1724 [1892]. *Arte de la Lengua Guarani*. Stuttgart: Kohlhammer.
- Rose, Françoise. 2015. When “You” and “I” mess around with the hierarchy: a comparative study of Tupi-Guarani hierarchical indexing systems. *Boletim do Museu Paraense Emílio Goeldi. Ciências Humanas* 10:347–369.
- Shain, Cory, and Judith Tonhauser. 2010. The synchrony and diachrony of Differential Object Marking in Paraguayan Guarani. *Language Variation and Change* 22:321–346.
- Tonhauser, Judith. 2006. The temporal semantics of noun phrases: Evidence from Guarani. Doctoral Dissertation.
- Tonhauser, Judith. 2007. Nominal tense? The meaning of Guarani nominal temporal markers. *Language* 831–869.
- Tonhauser, Judith. 2011a. The Paraguayan Guarani future marker-ta: Formal semantics and cross-linguistic comparison. *Tense across languages* 207–231.
- Tonhauser, Judith. 2011b. Temporal reference in Paraguayan Guarani, a tenseless language. *Linguistics and Philosophy* 34:257–303.
- Tonhauser, Judith. 2020. Projection variability in Paraguayan Guarani. *Natural Language & Linguistic Theory* 38:1263–1302.
- Tonhauser, Judith, and Erika Colijn. 2010. Word order in Paraguayan Guarani. *International Journal of American Linguistics* 76:255–288.
- Velázquez-Castillo, Maura. 1991. The semantics of Guarani agreement markers. In *Annual Meeting of the Berkeley Linguistics Society*, volume 17, 324–335.
- Velázquez-Castillo, Maura. 2002. Grammatical relations in active systems: The case of Guarani. *Functions of Language* 9:133–167.
- Zarratea, Tadeo. 2002. *Gramática elemental de la lengua Guarani*. Asunción, Paraguay: Marben.
- Zubizarreta, María Luisa. 2022. The verbal phrase in Paraguayan Guarani: A case study on the role of prosody in linearization. *Languages* 7:221.
- Zubizarreta, María Luisa, and Roumyana Pancheva. 2017a. A formal characterization of person-based alignment: The case of Paraguayan Guarani. *Natural Language & Linguistic Theory* 35:1161–1204.
- Zubizarreta, María Luisa, and Roumyana Pancheva. 2017b. A note on the syntax of possession in Paraguayan Guarani. *Boundaries, phases, and interfaces* 85–104.